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| Section | Question | Option | Mark | Opted | Opted \% | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Options | 1. Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey | YES | 1.00 | 716 | 93.11\% | 716 |
|  |  | NO | 1.00 | 53 | 6.89\% | 53 |
|  | 2. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? | 85 to $100 \%$ | 4.00 | 353 | 45.78\% | 1412 |
|  |  | 70 to $84 \%$ | 3.00 | 299 | 38.78\% | 897 |
|  |  | 55 to 69\% | 2.00 | 100 | 12.97\% | 200 |
|  |  | 30 to 54\% | 1.00 | 13 | 1.69\% | 13 |
|  |  | Below 30\% | 0.00 | 6 | 0.78\% | 0 |
|  | 3. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? | Thoroughly | 4.00 | 236 | 30.69\% | 944 |
|  |  | Satisfactory | 3.00 | 463 | 60.21\% | 1389 |
|  |  | Poorly | 2.00 | 54 | 7.02\% | 108 |
|  |  | Indifferently | 1.00 | 9 | 1.17\% | 9 |
|  |  | Won't teach at all | 0.00 | 7 | 0.91\% | 0 |
|  | 4. How well were the teachers able to communicate? | Always effective | 4.00 | 277 | 36.02\% | 1108 |
|  |  | Sometimes effective | 3.00 | 357 | 46.42\% | 1071 |
|  |  | Just Satisfactorily | 2.00 | 113 | 14.69\% | 226 |
|  |  | Generally ineffective | 1.00 | 11 | 1.43\% | 11 |
|  |  | Very poor communication | 0.00 | 11 | 1.43\% | 0 |
|  | 5. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as | Excellent | 4.00 | 264 | 34.33\% | 1056 |
|  |  | Very Good | 3.00 | 274 | 35.63\% | 822 |
|  |  | Good | 2.00 | 188 | 24.45\% | 376 |
|  |  | Fair | 1.00 | 31 | 4.03\% | 31 |
|  |  | Poor | 0.00 | 12 | 1.56\% | 0 |
|  | 6. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers | Always Fair | 4.00 | 272 | 35.37\% | 1088 |
|  |  | Usually Fair | 3.00 | 326 | 42.39\% | 978 |
|  |  | Sometimes Fair | 2.00 | 143 | 18.6\% | 286 |
|  |  | Usually Unfair | 1.00 | 17 | 2.21\% | 17 |
|  |  | Always Unfair | 0.00 | 11 | 1.43\% | 0 |
|  | 7. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? | Every time | 4.00 | 225 | 29.26\% | 900 |
|  |  | Usually | 3.00 | 274 | 35.63\% | 822 |
|  |  | Occasionally/Sometimes | 2.00 | 177 | 23.02\% | 354 |
|  |  | Rarely | 1.00 | 66 | 8.58\% | 66 |
|  |  | Never | 0.00 | 27 | 3.51\% | 0 |


| 8. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students. | Regularly | 4.00 | 245 | 31.86\% | 980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Often | 3.00 | 246 | 31.99\% | 738 |
|  | Sometimes | 2.00 | 168 | 21.85\% | 336 |
|  | Rarely | 1.00 | 72 | 9.36\% | 72 |
|  | Never | 0.00 | 38 | 4.94\% | 0 |
| 9. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth. | Significantly | 4.00 | 245 | 31.86\% | 980 |
|  | Very well | 3.00 | 244 | 31.73\% | 732 |
|  | Moderately | 2.00 | 211 | 27.44\% | 422 |
|  | Marginally | 1.00 | 42 | 5.46\% | 42 |
|  | Not at all | 0.00 | 27 | 3.51\% | 0 |
| 10. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow | Strongly Agree | 4.00 | 224 | 29.13\% | 896 |
|  | Agree | 3.00 | 309 | 40.18\% | 927 |
|  | Neutral | 2.00 | 180 | 23.41\% | 360 |
|  | Disagree | 1.00 | 41 | 5.33\% | 41 |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 0.00 | 15 | 1.95\% | 0 |
| 11. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes. | Every time | 4.00 | 246 | 31.91\% | 984 |
|  | Usually | 3.00 | 314 | 40.73\% | 942 |
|  | Ocassionally/Sometimes | 2.00 | 168 | 21.79\% | 336 |
|  | Rarely | 1.00 | 28 | 3.63\% | 28 |
|  | Never | 0.00 | 15 | 1.95\% | 0 |
| 12. Your Sr. advisor/advisor does a necessary followup with an assigned task to you | Every time | 4.00 | 261 | 33.85\% | 1044 |
|  | Usually | 3.00 | 311 | 40.34\% | 933 |
|  | Occasionally/Sometimes | 2.00 | 154 | 19.97\% | 308 |
|  | Rarely | 1.00 | 26 | 3.37\% | 26 |
|  | Never | 0.00 | 19 | 2.46\% | 0 |
| 13. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications | Every time | 4.00 | 236 | 30.61\% | 944 |
|  | Usually | 3.00 | 317 | 41.12\% | 951 |
|  | Occasionally/Sometimes | 2.00 | 177 | 22.96\% | 354 |
|  | Rarely | 1.00 | 27 | 3.5\% | 27 |
|  | Never | 0.00 | 14 | 1.82\% | 0 |
| 14. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. | Fully | 4.00 | 239 | 31.08\% | 956 |
|  | Reasonably | 3.00 | 262 | 34.07\% | 786 |
|  | Partially | 2.00 | 185 | 24.06\% | 370 |
|  | Slightly | 1.00 | 42 | 5.46\% | 42 |
|  | Unable to | 0.00 | 41 | 5.33\% | 0 |
| 15. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them | Every time | 4.00 | 228 | 29.65\% | 912 |
|  | Usually | 3.00 | 257 | $33.42 \%$ | 771 |
|  | Occasionally/Sometimes | 2.00 | 179 | 23.28\% | 358 |


|  | Rarely | 1.00 | 66 | 8.58\% | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | 0.00 | 39 | 5.07\% | 0 |
| 16. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process | Strongly Agree | 4.00 | 245 | 31.86\% | 980 |
|  | Agree | 3.00 | 313 | 40.7\% | 939 |
|  | Neutral | 2.00 | 162 | 21.07\% | 324 |
|  | Disagree | 1.00 | 32 | 4.16\% | 32 |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 0.00 | 17 | 2.21\% | 0 |
| 17. The institute/teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences. | To a great extent | 4.00 | 258 | 33.55\% | 1032 |
|  | Moderate | 3.00 | 303 | 39.4\% | 909 |
|  | Somewhat | 2.00 | 147 | 19.12\% | 294 |
|  | Very little | 1.00 | 35 | 4.55\% | 35 |
|  | Not at all | 0.00 | 26 | 3.38\% | 0 |
| 18. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. | Strongly Agree | 4.00 | 221 | 28.74\% | 884 |
|  | Agree | 3.00 | 235 | 30.56\% | 705 |
|  | Neutral | 2.00 | 220 | 28.61\% | 440 |
|  | Disagree | 1.00 | 55 | 7.15\% | 55 |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 0.00 | 38 | 4.94\% | 0 |
| 19. Efforts are made by the institute/teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. | To a great extent | 4.00 | 254 | 33.03\% | 1016 |
|  | Moderate | 3.00 | 303 | 39.4\% | 909 |
|  | Somewhat | 2.00 | 152 | 19.77\% | 304 |
|  | Very little | 1.00 | 38 | 4.94\% | 38 |
|  | Not at all | 0.00 | 22 | 2.86\% | 0 |
| 20. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching? | Above 90\% | 4.00 | 320 | 41.61\% | 1280 |
|  | 70-89\% | 3.00 | 290 | 37.71\% | 870 |
|  | 50-69\% | 2.00 | 113 | 14.69\% | 226 |
|  | 30-49\% | 1.00 | 31 | 4.03\% | 31 |
|  | Below 29\% | 0.00 | 15 | 1.95\% | 0 |
| 21. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. | Strongly Agree | 4.00 | 256 | 33.2\% | 1024 |
|  | Agree | 3.00 | 309 | 40.08\% | 927 |
|  | Neutral | 2.00 | 170 | 22.05\% | 340 |
|  | Disagree | 1.00 | 20 | 2.59\% | 20 |
|  | Strongly Disagree | 0.00 | 16 | 2.08\% | 0 |

